## IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

## **Docket No. 37208**

STATE OF IDAHO,		) 2010 Unpublished Opinion No. 641
	Plaintiff-Respondent,	Filed: September 10, 2010
v.		Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
WILI	LIAM FISHEL,	) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
	Defendant-Appellant.	OPINION AND SHALL NOT BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
	Appeal from the District Court of the Fif Falls County. Hon. Randy J. Stoker, Dist Order relinquishing jurisdiction and resentence with two-year determinate terraffirmed.  Molly J. Huskey, State Appellate Public Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appearance of General, Boise, for respondent.	quiring execution of unified four-year m for possession of methamphetamine,  Defender; Heather M. Carlson, Deputy pellant.

Before LANSING, Chief Judge, GRATTON, Judge and MELANSON, Judge

## PER CURIAM

William Fishel was convicted of possession of methamphetamine, Idaho Code § 37-2732(c)(1). The district court imposed a unified sentence of four years with two years determinate and retained jurisdiction. At the conclusion of the retained jurisdiction program, the court relinquished jurisdiction and ordered execution of Fishel's sentence. Fishel appeals, contending that the court abused its discretion in failing to sua sponte reduce his sentence upon relinquishing jurisdiction.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of a sentence are well established and

need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion in ordering execution of Fishel's original sentence, without modification. Therefore, the order relinquishing jurisdiction and directing execution of Fishel's previously suspended sentence is affirmed.